		Date 2002-12-03		Document ISO/IEC DTR 9573-11 2nd Edition JTC1 N6818	

Member Body	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph Figure/ Table	Type of comment (General/ Technical/Editorial)	COMMENTS	Disposition agreed in Ballot Resolution Meeting
Japan	Annex C			Annex C should include a document-oriented profile.	Accept.
UK			Technical (major)	The element names in the case-sensitive XML DTD and the XSLT stylesheet are all lowercase, whereas the headings of the clauses and all the examples show the element names in caps e.g (<STANDARD>), which is only valid for case-insensitive SGML files. All examples of coding should be changed to lowercase to ensure they can work with both versions of the DTD.	Element names describrd in clauses 3 through 9 are for SGML. XML taggings are shown in clauses 13 and D, where element names are described in lower case.

Those explanations will be added. |
| | 10 and 11 | | Technical (major) | The text for sections 10 and 11 is incomplete. | Accept in principle.

DTDs for terminological standards and multilingual standards will be dealt with in the future amendments to this TR. |
| | | | Technical (minor) | As a new standard for the identification of metadata exchanged between standards organizations is being developed at present through the OASIS Standards Registry group, by the end of this year some of the ISONET <Exx.xx and the non-ISONET document profile elements could usefully be mapped to Standard Registry Element Names. If this is considered desirable by the team I would be happy to help suggest suitable mappings. Note that the Standard Registry Element Names are mapped to Dublin Core metadata fields. ISONET could be usefully extended by assigning Dublin Core identifiers to suitable fields. | Reject.

Those issues are outside the scope of this TR. If requested, a new work item should be proposed on the issues. |

Member Body	Clause/ Subclause	Paragraph Figure/ Table	Type of comment (General/ Technical/Editorial)	COMMENTS	Disposition agreed in Ballot Resolution Meeting
	5.4.2.2, 7.7.1 and 7.8.1		Technical (minor)	5.4.2.2 states "As we decided to remove inclusions, the float components (e.g. figure, table, index entry) should be incorporated into the content model." (The removal of inclusions and exclusions makes it possible to develop an XML equivalent to the SGML DTD.) Yet 7.7.1 states "The parameter entities fig.model, fig.include, and fig.exclude are used to specify the content model, in-clusion, and exclusions, .." and 7.8.1 has similar wording (Note the mispelling of inclusion in both paragraphs!) If these entity references are retained a note should be added to make it clear that these entities should be blank in any XML version of the DTD.	Accept. There will be a clarification that the XML DTD contains no inclusion/exclusion.
	5.7.4.4		Technical (minor)	The list of notations for artwork given in 5.7.4.4 should be extended to include JPEG, JBIG and PNG ISO standards at least, with possible inclusions for GIF TIFF/IT (ISO 12639), TIFF/EP (ISO 1134-2) and IPI-IIF (ISO 12089).	Accept.